

PROOF OF THE DENSITY HYPOTHESIS

CHENG, YUAN-YOU FU-RUI

Dedicated to Ronald L. Graham on the occasion of his 75th birthday

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this article, we shall use the notations $\mathbb{N}$ for the set of the natural numbers, $\mathbb{P}$ that of the prime numbers, $\mathbb{Z}$ that of the integers, $\mathbb{R}$ that of the real numbers, and $\mathbb{C}$ that of the complex numbers. Let x be a complex variable and y a positive real variable as well as a function of x . Suppose that $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ are complex functions of the variable x and $f(y)$ is a positive real-valued function of y . The notation $g(x) = h(x) + O(f(y))$ describes the fact that $|g(x) - h(x)| \leq Bf(y)$ with some absolute constant $B > 0$ whenever y is sufficiently large or $y \geq y_0$ for some fixed positive number y_0 . For convenience, we also use the notation $f(x) \triangleleft g(y)$ and $f(x) \triangleleft g(y)$ for the statement $|f(x)| \leq g(y)$ and $|f(x)| \geq g(y)$, respectively. We shall use the symbol $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ for an arbitrary small positive real number, not necessarily the same at each occurrence in a given statement.

The Riemann zeta function, denoted by $\zeta(s)$, is a regular complex-valued function of the complex variable, customarily written as $s = \sigma + it$, on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ with $s = 1$ being its simple pole, at which the function has the residue 1. The analysis of the Riemann zeta function dates back at least to the time of Leonard Euler, who in 1737 gave what is now known as the Euler product formula for the Riemann zeta function as

Received by the editors July 22, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 32A60, 30D99, 11M26.

I would like to thank D. Roger Heath-Brown, Glenn J. Fox, Andrew M. Odlyzko, George E. Andrews, Hou-Rong Qin, Andrew J. Granville, Helmut Maier, Ju-Ping Wang, and Carl B. Pomerance for their encouragements and/or helpful comments. Also, I wish to thank the reviewers for their careful reading.

the second equality in (1.1). For $\sigma > 1$, we have

$$(1.1) \quad \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s}}.$$

For $\sigma > 0$, the Riemann zeta function may be defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{s}{s-1} - s \int_1^{\infty} \frac{v - \lfloor v \rfloor}{v^{s+1}} dv,$$

where $\lfloor v \rfloor$ is the greatest integer less than x . One may show that the definitions in (1.1) and (1.2) are identical for $\sigma > 1$ by the partial summation method. The analytic continuation of $\zeta(s)$ to the whole complex plane may be done in several ways. For instance, we have

$$(1.3) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{1-2^{1-s}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{(k+1)^s},$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$. In (1.3), the pole of the function $(1-2^{1-s})^{-1}$ at $s = 1$ corresponds to the unique pole of $\zeta(s)$. All other poles of this function occur at $s = 1 + 2\pi mi$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. They are each canceled with those zeros of the function defined by the double sum on the right. The zeros of $\zeta(s)$ located at $s = -2, -4, -6, \dots$ are called trivial zeros.

The Riemann hypothesis is a conjecture made by Bernard Riemann in 1859 in his epoch-making memoir [18] about the distribution of the nontrivial zeros for the Riemann zeta function. This conjecture plays a central role in prime number theory as well as almost every other branch of mathematics.

Let Z be the set of all nontrivial zeros for the Riemann zeta function. We customarily denote each zero by $\rho = \beta + i\gamma \in Z$. The Riemann hypothesis states that $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ for every $\rho \in Z$. It is easy to see from the Euler product formula that there are no zeros for the Riemann zeta function when $\sigma > 1$. It is not very difficult to prove that all nontrivial zeros for $\zeta(s)$ lie in the critical strip $0 < \sigma < 1$. Other results in this direction show that there is no zero in a domain along the line $\sigma = 1$ with the width tending to 0 as $|t|$ tends to infinity. At this point, it is unknown as to whether or not all the nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ lie in the strip $\epsilon < \sigma < 1 - \epsilon$ for any fixed, arbitrarily small $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

On the other hand, the best result on the estimate of the number of zeros in the critical strip was obtained early on, in 1905, with the Riemann-von Mangoldt Theorem, in the form of

$$(1.4) \quad N(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2\pi} + \frac{7}{8} + O(\log T),$$

where $N(T)$ is the number of zeros for $\zeta(s)$ in the domain restricted by $0 < \sigma < 1$ and $0 \leq t \leq T$. Let $N(\lambda, T)$ be the number of zeros for $\zeta(s)$ in the domain restricted by $\sigma \geq \lambda$ and $0 \leq t \leq T$ for $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2}$. A related direction along this line is the density hypothesis, which states that

$$(1.5) \quad N(\lambda, T) = O(T^{2(1-\lambda)+\epsilon}), \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \lambda \leq 1.$$

Ingham proved in 1941 that (1.5) is valid with the number 2 in the exponent being replaced by $\frac{8}{3}$ and Huxley proved in 1972 the same by $\frac{12}{5}$, see [13] and [12]. An explicit form of this result and an explicit upper bound for the Riemann zeta function on the line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ in [6] are used to prove there is at least one prime number in the interval $[x^3, (x+1)^3]$ in [7] for $\log \log x \geq 15$. Under the Riemann hypothesis, one may prove that the same is true for every $x \geq 2^{1/3} - 1$ as in [5]. The density hypothesis implies that there are at least one prime number in the interval $[x, x^{1/2+\epsilon})$ for sufficiently large x .

It is mentioned above that $N(1, T) = 0$; therefore, (1.5) with T^ϵ being replaced by $\log T$ may be proved if it can be shown that $N(\lambda, T)$ is a convex function of λ with (1.4). Backlund gave a proof in 1918 for the Riemann-von Mangoldt Theorem by using the symmetric property with respect to $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ of an entire function named by $\xi(s)$, see [4]. We shall define this ξ -function in Section 2 after a brief discussion on the Euler Gamma function. One may try to transform Backlund's argument into a proof of the density hypothesis by convexity. In a process of doing so, we found out that the proof could be completed if we also have a modified version of the Euler Gamma function which is analytic in the concerned region, symmetric with respect to the line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ and has a magnitude that is nearly the same as the Euler Gamma function. Furthermore, this function should not have poles and zeros in the region involved. However, there is a seemingly unfathomable hindrance to the last requirement. Nevertheless, we realize that one may devise a transparent auxiliary function in order to conquer the obstacle for our purpose. Aided by this auxiliary function, we are able to prove the density hypothesis. Actually, our result is even stronger when $\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < 1$ as follows.

Main Theorem. *Let $N(\lambda, T)$ be defined as above. Then,*

$$(1.6) \quad N(\lambda, T) = O(\log T),$$

for $\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < 1$.

Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a line segment and $\mathbf{U}$ be the union of all open disks of radius ϵ that have centers along the points of $\mathcal{W}$. For brevity, we shall

denote such a $\mathbf{U}$ by the set function $U(\mathcal{W})$ of the line segment as the variable, henceforth.

The proof of **Main Theorem** is complete by the following propositions. We let $\frac{1}{2} < x \leq 2$ and $y > 2$ such that $x \neq \beta$ and $y \neq \gamma$ for any $\rho \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\frac{1}{2} < \beta < 1$ and $0 < \gamma < T + 1$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the horizontal line segment from $s = x + yi$ to $s = \frac{1}{2} + yi$. Also, let $\frac{1}{2} < X < 1$ and $Y > 2$ and $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ be the vertical line segments from $s = X$ to $s = X + Yi$ and $s = 2$ to $2 + Yi$, respectively. We assume that there are no zeros of $\xi(s)$ in the open sets $U(\mathcal{H})$, $U(\mathcal{V})$, and $U(\mathcal{W})$.

Proposition 1. *Let the value of $\log \xi(s)$ be taken along with the line segment $\mathcal{H}$. Then,*

$$(1.7) \quad \Im[\log \xi(\tfrac{1}{2} + yi) - \log \xi(x + yi)] = O(\log y).$$

Proposition 2. *Let the value of $\log \xi(s)$ be taken along with the line segment $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ respectively. Then,*

$$(1.8) \quad \Im\{[\log \xi(2 + Yi) - \log \xi(2)] - [\log \xi(X + Yi) - \log \xi(X)]\} = O(\log Y).$$

2. THE EULER GAMMA FUNCTION AND THE XI-FUNCTION

It is advantageous to relate our studies on the Riemann zeta function to xi-function $\xi(s)$ and the Euler Gamma function $\Gamma(s)$. The functional equation for $\zeta(s)$ is in the form of

$$(2.1) \quad \pi^{-\frac{1-s}{2}} \Gamma(\tfrac{1-s}{2}) \zeta(1-s) = \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma(\tfrac{s}{2}) \zeta(s),$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ including two simple poles at $s = 0$ and $s = 1$ of the functions on both sides. The Euler Gamma function $\Gamma(s)$ is the generalized complex-valued factorial function of the complex variable s such that $\Gamma(n+1) = n!$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact, $\Gamma(s)$ is a meromorphic function of s such that

$$(2.2) \quad \Gamma(s+1) = s \Gamma(s),$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, -3, \dots\}$. It may be defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} = s e^{\gamma_0 s} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{s}{n}\right) e^{-\frac{s}{n}},$$

where $\gamma_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} - \log n) \approx 0.577215$ is the Euler-Mascheroni gamma constant. The Euler Gamma function is a meromorphic function without any zeros but with simple poles at $s = 0, -1, -2, \dots$. The simple pole of $\Gamma(s)$ at $s = 0$ corresponds to that of

$\zeta(s)$ at $s = 1$ in (2.1). Corresponding to those poles of $\Gamma(s)$ at $s = -n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ has those trivial zeros.

Let

$$(2.4) \quad \xi(s) = \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \xi_G(s) \xi_Z(s),$$

with

$$(2.5) \quad \xi_G(s) = \frac{s}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_Z(s) = (s-1) \zeta(s).$$

Then, the xi-function $\xi(s)$ is an entire function while $\xi_G(s)$ and $\xi_Z(s)$ are regular functions for $\sigma > -2$ since s cancels with the pole of $\Gamma(s)$ at the point $s = 0$ and $s-1$ cancels with that of $\zeta(s)$ at $s = 1$. The coefficient $\frac{1}{2}$ in the definition of $\xi_G(s)$ normalizes its value at $s = 0$ with $\xi_G(0) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) = 1$. On the other hand, we know that $\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} (s-1) \zeta(s) = 1$ from (1.2). The xi-function is not only an entire function but also symmetric about the line $t = 0$ as well as $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$.

Recall that $\xi(s)$ is an entire function whose zero set is the same as the nontrivial zero set of $\zeta(s)$ from the literature, so that $\xi(s) \neq 0$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. From (2.7), we know that $\xi(s) \in \mathbb{R}$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Actually, we have $\xi(0) = \xi(1) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\xi(s) > 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, see [9]. Since $\xi(s)$ has neither zeros nor poles along $s \in \mathbb{R}$, it does not change its sign for such values of s . Therefore, we may let $\log \xi(s)$ be the function in which $\log \xi(s)$ is real-valued and defined for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

The relation (2.1) can be rewritten as

$$(2.6) \quad \xi(1-s) = \xi(s).$$

Actually, we also have

$$(2.7) \quad \xi(\bar{s}) = \overline{\xi(s)},$$

which follows from the Schwarz reflection principle

$$(2.8) \quad F(\bar{s}) = \overline{F(s)},$$

for meromorphic functions $F(s)$ such that $F(s) \in \mathbb{R}$ whenever $s \in \mathbb{R}$. All functions related to $\zeta(s)$ in (2.1) and (2.4) are such meromorphic functions, being inherited from the regularities and reflection properties of $\zeta(s)$ and $\Gamma(s)$. Since the set of all the nontrivial zeros for the Riemann zeta function and that of all zeros for the xi-function are identical, we see that these zeros are symmetric about the real axis $t = 0$ from (2.7). Also, from (2.6) and (2.7), we acquire

$$(2.9) \quad \xi(1-\sigma+it) = \overline{\xi(\sigma+it)},$$

which indicates that the zeros for the xi-function must be located symmetrically about the line $s = \frac{1}{2}$ from (2.9). Note that (2.6) is not valid

if we replace $\xi(s)$ by $\zeta(s)$. However, we see that the distribution of non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function is symmetric about $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ from the functional equation (2.1).

Concerning the Gamma function, we have Stirling's formula in the form of

$$(2.10) \quad \Gamma(s) = \sqrt{2\pi} s^{s-1/2} e^{-s+g(s)},$$

for

$$-\pi + \delta \leq \arg(s) \leq \pi - \delta, \quad 0 < \delta < \pi,$$

where the function $g(s)$ is defined by

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} g(s) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{s}{v^2 + s^2} \log \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{e^{2\pi v}}} dv \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{[v] - v + 1/2}{v + s} dv = \int_0^\infty \frac{p(v)}{(v + s)^2} dv, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(2.12) \quad p(v) = \frac{v - [v] - (v - [v])^2}{2}.$$

For references, see [4], [9], [14], or [15].

One way to prove Stirling's formula is done by the Euler summation formula first for $t = 0$ and $\sigma > 0$ and then the formula is extended by analytic continuation so that (2.10) is valid for all s in the set $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$. For references, one may see [1], [2], and [16]. Note that $0 \leq p(v) \leq \frac{1}{8}$ and

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{(v + |s|)^2}{|v + s|^2} = \frac{v^2 + \sigma^2 + t^2 + 2v\sqrt{\sigma^2 + t^2}}{v^2 + 2v\sigma + \sigma^2 + t^2} \leq 2,$$

from $0 \leq 2ab \leq a^2 + b^2$ for any $a \geq 0$ and $b \geq 0$. Hence,

$$(2.14) \quad |g(s)| \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\infty \frac{dv}{(v + |s|)^2} \leq \frac{1}{8|s|},$$

provided that $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{8}$, which is sufficient for our purpose.

From (2.10), one deduces

$$(2.15) \quad \log \Gamma(s) = \left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log s - s + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) + O\left(\frac{1}{|s|}\right), \quad \sigma > \frac{1}{8},$$

where the constant in the O notation relies on the value of the lower bound of $|s|$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}s\right) &= \frac{1}{2}(s-1) \log\left(\frac{1}{2}s\right) - \frac{1}{2}s + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) + O\left(\frac{1}{|s|}\right) \\
 (2.16) \quad &= \left[\frac{1}{2}(\sigma-1) + i\frac{t}{2}\right] \left[\log \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sigma}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^2} + i \arctan\left(\frac{t}{\sigma}\right)\right] \\
 &\quad - \frac{\sigma}{2} - i\frac{t}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) + O\left(\frac{1}{|s|}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Im[\log \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}s\right)] &= \frac{\pi}{4}(\sigma-1) - \frac{1}{2}(\sigma-1) \arctan\left(\frac{\sigma}{t}\right) \\
 (2.17) \quad &\quad + \frac{t}{2} \log \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sigma}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^2} - \frac{t}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{|s|}\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

noting that $\log w = \log |w| + i \arg w$ and $\arg w = \arctan(\Im w / \Re w)$ for any complex number w satisfying $-\pi < \arg w < \pi$ and $\arctan(1/x) = \pi/2 - \arctan(x)$ for $x > 0$.

The xi-function may be represented by

$$(2.18) \quad \xi(s) = e^{-\log 2 - s(1+\gamma_0/2 - \log 2 - \log \pi/2)} \prod_{\rho \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right) e^{\frac{s}{\rho}},$$

For references, one may see [4], [9], or [14]. Taking logarithms and then differentiating the expressions in (2.18), we obtain

$$(2.19) \quad \frac{\xi'(s)}{\xi(s)} = -1 - \frac{\gamma_0}{2} + \log 2 + \frac{\log \pi}{2} + \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{1}{s - \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \right).$$

Logarithmic differentiation of (2.4) gives

$$(2.20) \quad \frac{\xi'(s)}{\xi(s)} = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{\Gamma'(\frac{1}{2}s)}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}s)} + \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} - \frac{1}{2} \log \pi.$$

The poles at $s = 0$ and $s = 1$ of the function on the right side of (2.20) are canceled with those of the Gamma function and the Riemann zeta function, respectively. All of the poles that result from the trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ cancel with the other poles of $\frac{\Gamma'(s/2)}{2\Gamma(s/2)}$. The function expressed on the right side of (2.20) is a meromorphic function over the whole complex plane with poles at the nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function.

For the estimate on the Riemann zeta function, we have Lemma 2.1, which is a portion of Theorem 1.9 on page 25 in [14].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\delta > 0$. For $t \geq 3$, one has*

$$(2.21) \quad |\zeta(s)| < \begin{cases} O(t^{(1-\delta)/2}), & \text{when } \delta \leq \sigma \leq 1, \\ O(\log t), & \text{when } \sigma > 1. \end{cases}$$

3. A PSEUDO GAMMA FUNCTION

The relation (2.9) is critical in our studies on the distribution of the nontrivial zeros for the Riemann zeta function. However, neither $\zeta(s)$ nor $\Gamma(s)$ is symmetric with respect to $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. Also, recall that $\Gamma(s)$ has poles at $s = 0, -1, -2, \dots$. Because of these two barriers, we need an adapted generalization of the factorial $n!$. We want this function to be entire, if possible, as well as symmetric about the line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. The Hadamard Gamma Function

$$(3.1) \quad H(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-s)} \frac{d}{ds} \log \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1-s}{2})}{\Gamma(1-\frac{s}{2})}$$

would be such a candidate, see [10]. It is an entire function; therefore, it is a simpler solution of the factorial interpolation problem than the Euler Gamma function, from the function theoretic point of view. On the other hand, one might use $\Gamma(\frac{s}{2}) + \Gamma(\frac{1-s}{2})$. But, this function has zeros on the line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. Of course, one could use a linear fractional transformation with the denominator $s - s_0$ to cancel with each zero at $s = s_0$. We wish that the resulted function have the magnitude nearly the same as $\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})$ on the half plane $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$. However, this appears to be an impossible task.

Nonetheless, it is expedient that we devise an elementary pseudo Gamma function for our sake. Note that $i^4 = 1$ and $\cos^4 x + \sin^4 x = 2(\cos^2 x - \frac{1}{2})^2 + \frac{1}{2}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote $R = \frac{9Y}{5} + \frac{1}{2}$, henceforth in this section. To correlate to the major factor $s^{s-1/2}$ on the right side of (2.10), we formulate a *pseudo Gamma function* by

$$(3.2) \quad \nabla(s) = \frac{1}{8} \left[\left(R^{\frac{s-1/2}{8}} + R^{\frac{1/2-s}{8}} \right)^4 + \left(R^{\frac{s-1/2}{8}} - R^{\frac{1/2-s}{8}} \right)^4 \right].$$

It is obvious that this function is analytic and symmetric with respect to the line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. A crucial point for this design is that $\nabla(\frac{1}{2} + it) = 2[\cos^4(\frac{t \log R}{8}) + \sin^4(\frac{t \log R}{8})] \geq 1$. It is symmetric with respect to $t = 0$, since it is real-valued on the real axis. Note here that $\nabla(\frac{1}{2}) = 2$.

In the proof of Proposition 2, we need upper bounds in the form of

$$(3.3) \quad B(s) = \frac{\xi(s)}{\nabla(s)} = O(Y^b),$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad C(s) = \frac{\nabla(2 - X + s)}{\nabla(s)} = O(Y^b),$$

on the circle $|s - X| = \frac{9Y}{5}$. Here b is a fixed positive constant b , whose value is not necessarily the same at each occurrence. We may assume that $Y \geq 8$ and only justify (3.3) and (3.4) for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{9Y}{5}$ by the Schwarz reflection principle. It is adequate to show (3.3) and (3.4) for $\frac{9Y}{5} - (X - \frac{1}{2}) \leq |s - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \frac{9Y}{5} + (X - \frac{1}{2})$. We may assume $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$ in proving (3.3) by the symmetric property with respect to $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. For (3.4), it is sufficient to demonstrate

$$(3.5) \quad C'(s) = \frac{\nabla(s+x)}{\nabla(s)} = O(Y^b),$$

for $\frac{9Y}{5} - (X - \frac{1}{2}) \leq |s - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \frac{9Y}{5} + (X - \frac{1}{2})$ with respect to each x such that $-1 < x < 2$ under the assumption that $t \geq 0$ and $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$. The estimate in (3.5) is straightforward from the definition of $\nabla(s)$. We prove (3.3) in the sequel.

Recall Lemma 2.1 and note that $(s-1)\zeta(s) = O(R \log R)$ for $\frac{9Y}{5} - (X - \frac{1}{2}) \leq |s - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \frac{9Y}{5} + (X - \frac{1}{2})$ with $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$. The estimate in (3.3) is validated if one verifies

$$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})}{\nabla(s)} = O(1).$$

Recall Stirling's formula in (2.10) and note that

$$\sqrt{2\pi} \ 2^{-(\sigma/2-1/2)} e^{-s/2+g(s/2)} \triangleleft 3$$

for $|s| \geq 6$ or $t \geq \frac{11}{2}$. One may instead ensure

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{s^{s/2-1/2}}{\nabla(s)} = O(1),$$

in the first quarter of the annulus $\frac{9Y}{5} - (X - \frac{1}{2}) \leq |s - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \frac{9Y}{5} + (X - \frac{1}{2})$. We have two cases. Case 1: $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\log 14}{2 \log R}$. One sees

$$s^{s/2-1/2} \triangleleft e^{\frac{\log 14-1}{2}} < \frac{7}{3}, \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla(s) \triangleright \frac{2}{11},$$

from

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla(s) &= \frac{1}{4} + \frac{(s-1/2) \log R}{32} + \frac{(s-1/2)^2 \log^2 R}{64} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{[(s-1/2) \log R/8]^k}{4 k!}, \end{aligned}$$

by its power series expansion. The estimate in (3.6) is valid with $O(1) \triangleleft 21$. Case 2: $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\log 14}{2 \log R}$. We have

$$\nabla(s) = \frac{1}{4} R^{\frac{s-1/2}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{6}{R^{\frac{s-1/2}{2}}} + \frac{1}{R^{s-1/2}} \right) \triangleright \frac{10}{44} R^{(\sigma-1/2)/2},$$

for sufficiently large Y , from $(1+z)^4 + (1-z)^4 = 2(1+6z^2+z^4)$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence, (3.6) is justified from the designation of R .

4. APPLYING THE ARGUMENT PRINCIPLE

We apply the argument principle with respect to the function $\xi(s)$. It is well known that there are at most finitely many zeros for any regular function in any bounded region. From now on, for $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$ we let

$$(4.1) \quad \varepsilon < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{5} \min_{\rho \in \mathbb{Z}, \rho' \in \mathbb{Z}: |\gamma| \leq 2T, |\gamma'| \leq 2T} \left\{ |\rho - \rho'| \right\}, \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right), \frac{T}{9} \right\} \right\}.$$

Note here that $\frac{9Y}{5} = \frac{9(T+\varepsilon)}{5} < 2T$ from $\varepsilon < \frac{T}{9}$. Therefore, there exist no zeros for $\zeta(s)$ in the open sets $D_V^{(1)}$, $D_V^{(2)}$, and D_H where

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} D_V^{(1)} &= \left\{ s : 1 - \lambda < \sigma < 1 - \lambda + 2\varepsilon, |t| < T + 5\varepsilon \right\}, \\ D_V^{(2)} &= \left\{ s : \lambda - 2\varepsilon < \sigma < \lambda, |t| < T + 5\varepsilon \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad D_H = \left\{ s : 0 \leq \sigma \leq 1, T < |t| < T + 5\varepsilon \right\}.$$

We also let $X = \lambda - \varepsilon$, $Y = T + \varepsilon$, and $Y_1 = T + 4\varepsilon$ henceforth for brevity. It follows that

$$(4.4) \quad N(\lambda, T) = \frac{2}{\pi i} \left(\int_{\mathcal{S}} \frac{\xi'(s)}{\xi(s)} ds - \int_{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\xi'(s)}{\xi(s)} ds \right),$$

where $\mathcal{S}$ is the simple closed route along the sides of the rectangle with vertices $2 - Y_1 i$, $2 + Y_1 i$, $-1 + Y_1 i$, and $-1 - Y_1 i$, in that order; and $\mathcal{R}$ is the simple closed route along the rectangle with vertices $X - Y i$, $X + Y i$, $1 - X + Y i$, and $1 - X - Y i$, in that order.

In the previous step, we obtained (4.4) by utilizing the reflection property of (2.7) for $\xi(s)$ about the real axis and the reflection property of (2.9) about the line $s = 1/2$. For the same reason, one sees that

$$(4.5) \quad N(\lambda, T) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{\mathcal{M}_H} + \int_{\mathcal{M}_V} - \int_{\mathcal{L}_H} - \int_{\mathcal{L}_V} \right) \frac{\xi'(s)}{\xi(s)} ds + O(1),$$

where $\mathcal{M}_H$ is that part of the boundary of $\mathcal{S}$ which extends from the point $s = 2 + Y_1 i$ to $\frac{1}{2} + Y_1 i$ and $\mathcal{M}_V$ is the part from the point $s = 2$ to the point $s = \frac{1}{2} + Y_1 i$; $\mathcal{L}_H$ is that part of the boundary of $\mathcal{R}$ from the point $X + Y i$ to $\frac{1}{2} + Y i$ and $\mathcal{L}_V$ is that part that extends from the point $s = X$ to $X + Y i$. The $O(1)$ term in (4.5) corresponds the integral along the line segment from $s = X$ to $s = 2$.

We now remark on a crucial point, in this work, concerning the logarithmic functions. To help the reader comprehend the general idea, we provide a simple example. Let $f(s)$ be a meromorphic function with a zero or a pole at $s = s_0$. Then the logarithm of $f(s)$ is no longer a meromorphic function. Consider, for example, the entire function $f(s) = s - 1$. The logarithm of this function $\log(s - 1)$ is not a meromorphic function over the same domain. Instead, it is an analytic function in the open region that remains after a simple curve from $s = 1$ to ∞ , in any direction, is removed. For our example, we remove the half-line from 1 to ∞ passing through $2 + i$ in a diagonal direction. Now, the point here is that the derivative $(s - 1)^{-1}$ of $\log(s - 1)$ is a meromorphic function of the whole complex plane, with the unique pole at $s = 1$, by analytic continuation, even though $\log(s - 1)$ is not. One may notice that $\arg(s - 1)$ is not a continuous function, but $(s - 1)^{-1}$ is analytic, except at $s = 1$. If we integrate $(s - 1)^{-1}$ along the boundary of the circle $|s - 1| = 1$, then the integral does not yield 0, but is instead equal to $[(\frac{\pi}{4} - 0) - (-\frac{7\pi}{4} + 0)]i = (2\pi - 0)i$. The logarithmic function $\log(s - 1)$ defined in the region with the above cut is not the same as the logarithm of $s - 1$, customarily defined in the region with the half line from $s = 1$ to $-\infty$ on the real line being removed. However, both of the logarithmic functions share the property that their values are real for all real-valued s in the interval $(1, \infty)$.

Similarly, the function defined in (2.19) is a meromorphic function with poles at all $s = \rho \in \mathbb{Z}$ as used by Backlund in his proof of the Riemann-von Mangoldt Theorem when he applied the argument principle. Remember this point when we define the open region $\mathbf{Q}$ in the next paragraph.

We regard both the integrand $\frac{\xi'(s)}{\xi(s)}$ and its anti-derivative function $\log \xi(s)$ as the real-valued function uniquely defined for the real-valued s . We may let $\mathcal{N}$ be the horizontal line segment route from $s = X$ to $s = 2$. Then, we let $\mathbf{Q}_1$ be the union of all open disks of radius ε that have centers along the points of the directed line segment $\mathcal{L}_H$. For each of the directed line segments $\mathcal{L}_V$, $\mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{M}_V$, and $\mathcal{M}_H$, we similarly define the regions $\mathbf{Q}_2$, $\mathbf{Q}_3$, $\mathbf{Q}_4$, and $\mathbf{Q}_5$, respectively. Thus, each of the regions $\mathbf{Q}_1$, $\mathbf{Q}_2$, $\mathbf{Q}_3$, $\mathbf{Q}_4$, and $\mathbf{Q}_5$ is of a similar shape, aligned either horizontally or vertically. Note that the $\mathbf{Q}_j$'s are open, and denote their union by $\mathbf{Q}$, that is $\mathbf{Q} = \bigcup_{j=1}^5 \mathbf{Q}_j$.

We remark that the open region $\mathbf{Q}$ is simply connected, as there is a distance of at least ε between the regions $\mathbf{Q}_1$ and $\mathbf{Q}_5$ that correspond to the distance of 3ε between the two parallel horizontal line segments

$\mathcal{L}_H$ and $\mathcal{M}_H$. A branch of $\log \xi(s)$ is uniquely defined and regular in the open region $\mathbf{Q}$, taking on real values for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, as $\xi(s)$ does not have any zeros in $\mathbf{Q}$, nor does it change in sign. The equality (4.5) becomes

$$(4.6) \quad N(\lambda, T) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} (D_1 + D_2 + D_3 - D_4 - D_5) + O(1),$$

where

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} D_1 &= \log \xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + Y_1 i\right) - \log \xi(2 + Y_1 i), \\ D_2 &= \log \xi(2 + Y_1 i) - \log \xi(2 + Y i), \\ D_3 &= \log \xi(2 + Y i) - \log \xi(2), \\ D_4 &= \log \xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + Y i\right) - \log \xi(X + Y i), \\ D_5 &= \log \xi(X + Y i) - \log \xi(X). \end{aligned}$$

Here, the value of each D_j is taken along a line segment, horizontally or vertically. The route that corresponds to D_1 is the horizontal line segments making up $\mathcal{M}_H$ inside the open region $\mathbf{Q}_5$. The routes that correspond to D_2 and D_3 are along $\mathcal{M}_V$ inside the open region $\mathbf{Q}_4$. The route corresponding to the quantity D_4 is along $\mathcal{L}_H$ inside the open region $\mathbf{Q}_1$, and the route that corresponds to D_5 is the route along $\mathcal{L}_V$ inside the region $\mathbf{Q}_2$. The $O(1)$ term in (4.6) also covers the excluded quantities for the integrals when $0 \leq t < 2$.

Without the assumption of the Riemann Hypothesis, the function $\log \xi(s)$ is uniquely defined and regular in the open region $\mathbf{Q}$, which has a cut of width at least ε between the open regions $\mathbf{Q}_1$ and $\mathbf{Q}_5$ which contain the routes corresponding to D_5 and D_1 .

Also, note that the expression on the left side of (4.6) is purely real-valued. Therefore, we only need the purely imaginary parts of D_j 's for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 5\}$. It follows that

$$(4.8) \quad N(\lambda, T) = \frac{1}{2\pi} (\Im D_1 + \Im D_2 + \Im D_3 - \Im D_4 - \Im D_5) + O(1),$$

where D_j 's in (4.7)

For any fixed T , we may choose ε so that $D_2 = O(1)$ with the fixed constant in this $O(1)$ as the route of D_2 is inside the simply connected open region Q . Proposition 1 implies that $\Im D_1 = O(\log T)$ and $\Im D_4 = O(\log T)$ and Proposition 2 implies that $\Im D_3 - \Im D_5 = O(\log T)$. Therefore, (1.6) is validated and the proof of the main theorem is finished.

5. HAVING RECOURSE TO THE GAMMA FUNCTION

We prove Proposition 1 in this section. First, we follow the reasoning in [9] to prove a similar result $x + yi$ being replaced by 2 with respect to $\zeta(s)$ instead $\xi(s)$. That is,

$$(5.1) \quad \log \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + yi\right) - \log \zeta(x + yi) = O(\log y).$$

Also, the Riemann Hypothesis implies $\Im[\log \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + iT) - \log \zeta(2)] = O(\frac{\log T}{\log \log T})$. For references, one may see [4], [9], [14] and [20].

We state the equation (4) on page 99 in [9] here. If $-1 \leq \sigma \leq 2$ and $t > 0$ is sufficiently large, then

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{Z}: \gamma \neq t, |\gamma - t| < 1} \frac{1}{s - \rho} + O(\log t).$$

The number of zeros such that $|\gamma - t| < 1$ is less than $3 \log t$, see [7]. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Im[\log \zeta(\tfrac{1}{2} + yi) - \log \zeta(x + yi)] \\ &= \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{Z}: \gamma \neq y, |\gamma - y| < 1} \Im \int_{x+yi}^{1/2+yi} \frac{1}{s - \rho} + \Im \int_{x+yi}^{1/2+yi} O(\log y) \, d(\sigma + yi). \end{aligned}$$

Now, $\Im \int_{x+yi}^{1/2+yi} \frac{1}{s - \rho} = \arg(x + yi - \beta - i\gamma) - \arg(\frac{1}{2} + yi - \beta - i\gamma) < \pi$. The estimate in (5.1) follows.

Now we prove Proposition 1. Integrating both sides of (2.20) from $x + yi$ to $\frac{1}{2} + yi$ with (5.1), we obtain

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Im[\log \xi(\tfrac{1}{2} + yi) - \log \xi(x + yi)] \\ &= \Im[\log \Gamma(\tfrac{1/2+yi}{2}) - \log \Gamma(\tfrac{x+yi}{2})] + O(\log y). \end{aligned}$$

For estimates on $\log \Gamma(\frac{s}{2})$, we recall (2.17). It follows that

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Im[\log \Gamma(\tfrac{1/2+yi}{2}) - \log \Gamma(\tfrac{x+yi}{2})] = -\frac{\pi}{2}(x - \tfrac{1}{2}) \\ & \quad + \frac{y}{4} \log[1 - (x^2 - 1/4)/(x^2 + y^2)] + O(y^{-1}) \\ & = -\frac{\pi}{2}(x - \tfrac{1}{2}) + O\left(\frac{y(x^2 - 1/4)}{4(4 + y^2)}\right) + O(y^{-1}) \triangleleft 1, \end{aligned}$$

using $\arctan(z) = O(z)$ and $\log(1 - z) = -z + O(z^2)$ for small values of $|z|$. Proposition 1 then follows from (5.3) and (5.4).

Another way to prove (5.1) is by the following two lemmas, see [4].

Lemma 5.1. *If $R > 0$, and f is a function that is regular for $|z - z_0| \leq R$, and has at least m zeros in $|z - z_0| \leq r < R$, with multiple zeros*

being counted according to their order of multiplicity, then, if $f(z_0) \neq 0$, we have

$$(5.5) \quad \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^m \leq \frac{M}{|f(z_0)|},$$

where $M = \max |f(z)|$ for $|z - z_0| = R$.

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose that the function $f(s)$ is analytic and nonzero in a simply connected open region and a simple curve from $s = s_1$ to $s = s_2$ is inside this open region. Then,*

$$(5.6) \quad \Im[\log f(s_2) - \log f(s_1)] \triangleleft (m+1)\pi,$$

where m is the number of points s_0 on the route from s_1 to s_2 , exclusive of the end points, at which $\Re f(s_0) = 0$.

We give a simple proof for Lemma 5.2.

Proof. Note that both $\Im f(s)$ and $\Re f(s)$ are continuous functions of s . They do not vanish at the same point by the assumption. The function $\log f(s)$ is uniquely defined and analytic since $f(s) \neq 0$ in the simply connected open region. The curve from s_1 to s_2 is divided into $m+1$ sub-routes by those points s_0 at which $\Re f(s_0) = 0$. On each of the $m+1$ sub-routes, the imaginary part of $\log f(s)$ or the argument of $f(s)$ is the same as a branch of $\arctan \frac{\Im f(s)}{\Re f(s)}$. The value of the correponding branch $\arctan \frac{\Im f(s)}{\Re f(s)}$ on each sub-route changes at most π . Therefore, the lemma follows. $\square$

Obviously, this lemma is still valid if we replace the last condition $\Re f(s_0) = 0$ by $\Im f(s_0) = 0$. A similar result may be found on page 37 in [4].

We shall use these two lemmas in the next section.

6. ESTIMATE ON THE VERTICAL LINE SEGMENTS

In this section, we prove Proposition 2. Equivalently, we may instead prove

$$(6.1) \quad E(2) - E(X) + E = O(\log Y),$$

where

$$E(x) = \Im \log \frac{\xi(s)}{\nabla(s)} \Big|_x^{x+Yi}, \quad \text{and} \quad E = \Im \log \frac{\nabla(2-X+s)}{\nabla(s)} \Big|_X^{X+Yi},$$

for $x = X$ and 2 with $\frac{1}{2} < X < 1$. To validate (6.1), we only give details to show that $E(x) = O(\log Y)$ and one can prove $E = O(\log Y)$ similarly with (3.3) being replaced by (3.4).

We first apply Lemma 5.2 to the function $B(s)$ in (3.3) on the line segment from x to $x + Yi$. We have $E(x) \leq (m + 1)\pi$, where m is the number of s_0 such that $\Re B(s_0) = 0$ on the line segment from x to $x + Yi$, exclusive of the end-points. Recalling the symmetric properties of $\xi(s)$ and $\nabla(s)$, we see that m is the same as the number of zeros of the analytic function

$$(6.2) \quad D(s) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\xi(x - 1/2 + s)}{\nabla(x - 1/2 + s)} + \frac{\xi(1/2 - x + s)}{\nabla(1/2 - x + s)} \right]$$

on the line segment from $s = \frac{1}{2}$ to $s = \frac{1}{2} + Yi$, exclusive of the end-points. We remark here that $D(s)$ does not have to have zeros at those points of zeros for $\xi(s)$ on the line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ because $x > \frac{1}{2}$.

In order to apply Lemma 5.1 to acquire $m = O(\log Y)$, we need an estimate that

$$(6.3) \quad D(s) = O(Y^b)$$

for $|s - \frac{1}{2}| = \frac{9Y}{5}$, where b is a fixed positive constant. By the symmetric properties of $\xi(s)$ and $K(s)$, (6.3) is validated by (3.3).

Finally, we apply Lemma 5.1 by using the function $D(s)$ and choosing $z_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, $r = Y$, and $R = \frac{9Y}{5}$. Recall that $\xi(0) = \xi(1) = \frac{1}{2}$ and note that $\nabla(\frac{1}{2}) = 2$. Also, there are no zeros for both $\xi(s)$ and $\nabla(s)$ when $-\frac{9Y}{5} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{9Y}{5} + 1$ and $t = 0$. Note that $D(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\xi(x)}{\nabla(x)} + \frac{\xi(1-x)}{\nabla(1-x)} \right]$; it is an absolute positive constant without involving Y , from $x > \frac{1}{2}$. It follows that $(\frac{9}{5})^m = O(Y^b)$. Conclude that we have $m = O(\log Y)$ and finish the proof of Proposition 2.

REFERENCES

1. Alfors, L. V., *Complex Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, 3rd Ed., New York, 1979.
2. Berenstein, C. A. and Gay R., *Complex Variables: An Introduction*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
3. Berry, M.V. and Keating, J. P., *The Riemann zeros and eigenvalue asymptotics*, SIAM Rev. (4) **41**, pp. 236-266, 1999.
4. Chandrasekharan, K., *Arithmetical Functions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1970.
5. Cheng, Y. and Caldwell, C., *Determining Mills' Constant and a Note on Honaker's Problem*, Integer sequences, Article 05.4.1, Vol. **8**, pp. 1-9, 2005.
6. Cheng, Y. and Graham, S. W., *Estimates on the Riemann zeta function*, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, **41**, pp. 1261-1290, 2004.

7. Cheng, Y., *Estimates on primes between consecutive cubes*, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, to appear.
8. Conrey, J. B. More than two fifths of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function are on the critical line, *J. reine angew. Math.* 399 (1989), pp. 1-26.
9. Davenport, H., *Multiplicative Number Theory*, second edition, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
10. Davis, P. J., *Leonhard Euler's Integral: A Historical Profile of the Gamma Function*, Amer. Math. Monthly **66**, pp. 849-869, 1959.
11. Edwards, H. M., *Riemann's zeta function*, Academic, New York, London, 1974.
12. Huxley, M. N., *On the differences between consecutive primes*, Invent. Math. **15**, pp. 155-164, 1972.
13. Ingham, A.E., *On the estimation of $N(\sigma, T)$* , Quart. J. Math., 11, pp. 291-292, 1940.
14. Ivić, A., *The Riemann zeta function – Theory and applications*, Mineola, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1985.
15. Karatsuba, A., *Basic Analytic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1991.
16. Lang, S., *Complex Analysis*, Springer, 4th edition, 1991.
17. Rahman, Q. I., and Schmeisser G., *Analytic theory of polynomials*, Oxford University Press, 2002.
18. Riemann, B., *Über die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse*, Monatsber. Akad., Berlin, pp. 671-680, 1859.
19. Rudin, W., *Real and Complex Analysis*, Second Edition, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1974.
20. Titchmarsh, E. C., *The Theory of the Riemann zeta function*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1951.

Drafted: November 14, 2007 – December 24, 2007.

Revised: March 6, 2010 – March 7, 2010.

Submitted: July 22, 2009. Revised version submitted: March 8, 2010.

Keywords: The Riemann zeta function, the Riemann hypothesis, the density hypothesis, Euler's Gamma function, Hadamard's Gamma function, a pseudo Gamma function, and the xi-function.

Cheng, Yuan-You Fu-Rui

ABSTRACT. The Riemann zeta function is a meromorphic function on the whole complex plane. It has infinitely many zeros and a unique pole at $s = 1$. Those zeros at $s = -2, -4, -6, \dots$ are known as trivial zeros. The Riemann hypothesis, conjectured by Bernhard Riemann in 1859, claims that all nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ lie on the line $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$. The density hypothesis is a conjectured estimate $N(\lambda, T) = O(T^{2(1-\lambda)+\epsilon})$ for any $\epsilon > 0$, where $N(\lambda, T)$ is the number of zeros of $\zeta(s)$ when $\Re(s) \geq \lambda$ and $0 < \Im(s) \leq T$, with $\frac{1}{2} \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $T > 0$. The Riemann-von Mangoldt Theorem confirms this estimate when $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, with T^ϵ being replaced by $\log T$. The xi-function $\xi(s)$ is an entire function involving $\zeta(s)$ and the Euler Gamma function $\Gamma(s)$. This function is symmetric with respect to the line $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$, although neither $\zeta(s)$ nor $\Gamma(s)$ exhibits this property. In an attempt to transform Backlund's proof of the Riemann-von Mangoldt Theorem, from 1918, to a proof of the density hypothesis by convexity, we discovered a slightly different approach utilizing a *pseudo Gamma function*. This function is symmetric with respect to $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$. It is about the size of the Euler Gamma function. Moreover, it is analytic and does not have any zeros and poles in the concerned open region. Aided by this function, we are able to establish a proof of the density hypothesis. Actually, our result is even stronger, when $\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < 1$, $N(\lambda, T) = O(\log T)$.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WUFMUD, NMCD, U. S. A.

E-mail address: Cheng, Yuan-You Fu-Rui (a.k.a. fred) <cfy1721@gmail.com>